

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
,09/484,292	01/18/2000	Neil Kent McDonald	9D-HR-19273-McDonald	9518
75	90 09/06/2005		EXAM	INER
John S. Beulic Armstrong Teas			DUONG, THO V	
	an Square, Suite 2600		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
St. Louis, MO			3743	
•			DATE MAIL ED: 00/06/200	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			/
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	7
	09/484,292	MCDONALD ET AL.	f
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tho v. Duong	3743	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION IN 136(a). In no event, however, may a red will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONUTE, cause the application to become AE	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communicat ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22	June 2005.		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	·	• •	is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			•
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6-22</u> is/are pending in the a	pplication.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.		
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-20 and 22</u> is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner, Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		pplication No.	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri			
application from the International Bure	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0) 		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's amendment filed 6/22/2005 is acknowledged. Claim 1-4 and 6-22 are pending.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see Remark, filed 6/22/2005, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-4 and 6-22 under Brickman and Heuer have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of W. P. Hill (US 2,561,278) and M. H. McTurk (US 2,453,448).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over W. P. Hill (US 2,561,278) in view of M. H. McTurk (US 2,453,448). Hill discloses (figures 1-4) a refrigerator condenser (11) comprising a circular tube having fins (35) attached on the tube and bent in to a spiral shape defining a continuous layer condenser surface. Hill does not disclose that the fin is a wire member. Turk discloses (figures 1-2 and column 1, lines 13-24 and column 3, lines 57-75) a circular condenser tube that is provided with a plurality of wire fin members (2) for a purpose of improving heat transfer efficiency and easing the cleaning process of the condenser. Since Hill and Turk are both from the same field of endeavor and/or analogous art, it would have been

Application/Control Number: 09/484,292

Art Unit: 3743

obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to use Turk's teaching in Hill's condenser for a

Page 3

purpose of improving heat transfer efficiency and easing the cleaning process of the condenser.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-4, 6-20 and 22 are allowed.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Tho v. Duong whose telephone number is 571-272-4793. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F (first Friday off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Henry Bennet can be reached on 571-272-4791. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tho v Duong

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3743

W

TD

September 1, 2005